

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/084,640	0	2/25/2002	Koichi Masuda	047940-0139	3691
23524	7590	08/27/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY & I	LARDNE	CR.	NAFF, DAVID M		
150 EAST G		TREET		APTICIPATION	DADED MARKET
P.O. BOX 14	197			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON.	WI 5370	1-1497		1651	

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/084,6	40	MASUDA ET AL.					
		Examine		Art Unit					
		David M.	Naff	1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHOR THE M/ - Extensic after SI) - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure I Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months apatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. sto) days, a reply within the stat attutory period will apply and worwill, by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be t utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron llication to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 25 February 20	02.						
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition	•		rosecution as to the merits is					
cl	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4a 5)□ C 6)□ C 7)□ C	claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the and of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	re withdrawn from co							
Application	n Papers								
9)[] Th	ne specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)∐ Tł	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s	)								
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar						
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or lo(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/084,640

Art Unit: 1651

5

0

5

## Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Claims in the application are 1-20.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8 and 14-19, drawn to methods for producing intervertebral disc tissue and for repairing intervertebral disc damage, classified in class 424, subclass 423.
- II. Claims 9-13, drawn to a cohesive engineered intervertebral disc tissue containing specific amounts of water, DNA, proteoglycan and collagen, classified in class 435, subclass 1.1.
- III. Claim 20, drawn to a kit for producing intervertebral disc tissue containing instructions, growth media, semipermeable membranes, growth factors and lab equipment, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the methods of invention I can produce materially different tissue than required by invention II by producing tissue having a different content of water, DNA,

proteoglycan and collagen than required by invention I.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,640 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

The methods of inventions I can be carried out and the tissue of invention II can be produced without using a kit as required by invention III. The components of the kit can be used without being formed into a kit prior to use. Additionally, the kit can be used in a method other than required by the methods of invention I to produce tissue other than required by invention II.

Examining inventions I-III together will be a serious search burden due the requirement for three different searches necessitated by the differences in claimed inventions I-III.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable,

Application/Control Number: 10/084,640 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

0

withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b), " 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,640

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M. Naff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651 Page 5

DMN 8/23/04

0

5